(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1616 **2006** SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

Smt. Shanti Devi Mahilange W/O Shri Rajendra Kumar Mahilange, aged about 30 years, Guruji Primary School Hardanda, Resident of village-Kashitola, Gram Panchyat Kashitola, Block & Tahsil Chuikhadan Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

R.R. No. 1685/06
Presented by Shri R.S. Baghel
dated 28/3/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department of Education Mantrlaya, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Ppanchyat, Chuikhadan Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. The District Education Officer Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
4. The Block Education Officer Chuikhadan, Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
5. The Gram Panchyat Kashitola Raipur through Sarpanch/Secretary, Block & Tahsil Chuikhadan Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
28-3-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC-78

29

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP/1611/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20.6.2006

Heard Shri R.S. Patel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India the petitioner has prayed that she may be allowed to continue on the post of Guruji/Contract Teacher Class-III. It has been mentioned in the petition that the petitioner is working as Guruji in the Primary School, Hardanda since 1996. The petitioner was granted two years time to obtain the requisite qualification and accordingly, she has obtained the Higher Secondary School Certificate from the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan, Allahabad, which has been affiliated by the State Government as well as Pt. Ravishankar Shukl University dated 23.1.2003, but the respondents are not considering the qualification of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.4 for consideration of his qualification and to pass appropriate orders on his representation, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.4 within 15 days from today and in turn

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

30

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	-2- respondent No.4 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and decide the same on its own merit within another period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.1240/06 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Roshan/-